PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION as v	see Form PCT/ISA/220 well as, where applicable, item 5 below.
nternational application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)
PCT/US2008/071830	31/07/2008	01/08/2007
Applicant		
rom		
IGT		
This international search report has been according to Article 18. A copy is being t	n prepared by this international Searching Auransmitted to the International Bureau.	uthority and is transmitted to the applicant
This international search report consists	of a total of 5 sheets.	
It is also accompanied b	y a copy of each prior art document cited in t	this report.
Basis of the report		hada at
	e International search was carried out on the application in the language in which it was fi	
	he International application into	, which is the language
	urnished for the purposes of international sea	arch (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b))
b. This international search authorized by or notified	report has been established taking into according to this Authority under Rule 91 (Rule 43.6bis	ount the rectification of an obvious mistake s(a)).
c. With regard to any nucle	sotide and/or amino acid sequence disclos	sed in the international application, see Box No. I.
2. Certain claims were fo	und unsearchable (See Box No. II)	
3. X Unity of invention is la	cking (see Box No III)	
	1000 Bex 110 m	
4. With regard to the titte,		
X the text is approved as s	submitted by the applicant	
the text has been establi	ished by this Authority to read as follows:	
요즘 이 사람들은 사람들이 되었다. 그 사람들은 사람들이 되었다. - 사람들은 사람들이 사용하는 사람들이 나를 보고 있다.		
E VASSE CONTROL OF THE CONTROL OF TH		
 With regard to the abstract, the text is approved as s 	usbemistered by the conditional	
	submitted by the applicant	nority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant
may, within one month fi	rom the date of malling of this international se	earch report, submit comments to this Authority
6. With regard to the drawings,		
	published with the abstract is Figure No. 2	tana di Kabupatèn Bandara di Kabupatèn Bandara di Kabupatèn Bandara di Kabupatèn Bandara di Kabupatèn Bandara Bandara di Kabupatèn Bandara di Kabupatèn Bandara di Kabupatèn Bandara di Kabupatèn Bandara di Kabupatèn Banda
X as suggested by		
paramag		Commence and the second of the
as selected by the	his Authority, because the applicant lailed to	Suggest a riquie
	nls Authority, because the applicant failed to nis Authority, because this figure better chara	and the state of t

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No PCT/US2008/071830

-					
A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER INV. G06F9/445					
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC					
	SEARCHED				
G06F	ocumentation searched (classification system followed by classifi	cation symbols)			
Documenta	ation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent th	at such documents are inclu	ded in the fields searched		
Electronic d	data base consulted during the international search (name of data	base and, where practical,	search terms used)		
EPO-In	ternal, WPI Data				
С. ДОСИМ	ENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT				
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the	relevant passages	Relevant to claim No:		
X	EP 0 491 585 A (IBM [US]) 24 June 1992 (1992-06-24) column 3, lines 9-21 column 3, line 35 - column 4, l	ine 42	1-26		
		F			
L Funti	her documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.	X See patent fan	illy annex.		
"A" docume consid "E" earlier of filing of "L" docume which citation "O" docume other of docume later the	ent which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or is cited to establish the publication date of another on or other special reason (as specified) ent referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or means ent published prior to the international filing date but han the priority date claimed	or priority date and cited to understan- invention "X" document of particulation of partic	 "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. "&" document member of the same patent family 		
Date of the actual completion of the international search 29 October 2008 Date of mailing of the international search report 20/04/2009			elis Territoria. Por la companya di series di s		
Name and r	mailing address of the ISA/ European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040,	Authorized officer	Mano		
İ	Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	l nienen,	riar C		

International application No. PCT/US2008/071830

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Box No. II Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:
Claims Nos.: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:
3. Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).
Box No. III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:
see additional sheet
As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers allsearchable claims.
Gaing.
2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fees, this Authority did not invite payment of additional fees.
3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search reportcovers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:
1–26
Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest and, where applicable, the payment of a protest fee.
The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest but the applicable protest fee was not paid within the time limit specified in the invitation.
No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

FURTHER INFORMATION CONTINUED FROM PCT/ISA/ 210

This International Searching Authority found multiple (groups of) inventions in this international application, as follows:

1. claims: 1-26

1-st: Method and apparatus for loading application programs into memory for execution comprising: receiving, identifying and/or determining an application program that includes one or more application program components required to be stored in said memory for execution of said application program; determining whether each one of said one or more application program components of said application program are stored in said memory so that said application program can be executed by said computing system after said receiving, identifying and/or determining of said application program; and causing at least one application program component of said one or more application program components of said application program not to be loaded into said memory when said determining determines that said at least one application program component is stored into said memory and consequently available for execution of said application program.

2. claims: 27-50

2-nd invention: Method for generating differential application data comprising: receiving, identifying and/or determining first and second application programs, wherein said first and second application programs respectively include first and second individual application program components required for execution of said application programs in said computing environment: determining whether said first and second individual application program components have at least one common individual application program in common after said receiving, identifying and/or determining of said first and second application programs; generating application differential data for said first and/or second applications programs, wherein said application differential data effectively indicates that said first and second application programs have least one common individual application program components in common when said determining determines that said first and second individual application program components have at least one common individual application program in common; and store the application differential data for execution of said first and/or second applications programs.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

information on patent family members

International application No PCT/US2008/071830

Patent document cited in search report	Publication	Patent family		Publication	
	date	member(s)		date	
EP 0491585 A	24-06-1992	JP US	4268927 A 5247681 A	24-09-1992 21-09-1993	

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

То:		PCT			
see form PCT/ISA/220		WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY			
	- E 1.	(PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)			
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	PR FURTHER ACTION paragraph 2 below			
International application No. PCT/US2008/071830	International filing date (day/mo	onth/year) Priority date 01.08.200	(day/month/year) 7		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or INV. G06F9/445	both national classification and IF	PC			
Applicant IGT	3				
1. This opinion contains indication	ons relating to the following	g items:			
□ Box No. II Priority □ Box No. III Non-establishr □ Box No. IV Lack of unity o □ Box No. V Reasoned state applicability; ci □ Box No. VI Certain documents	 □ Box No. II Priority □ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability □ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention □ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement □ Box No. VI Certain documents cited □ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 				
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.					
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.					
For further options, see Form PC 3. For further details, see notes to l					
Name and mailing address of the ISA: European Patent Office P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016	Date of comple this opinion see form PCT/ISA/210	Dieben, Marc Telephone No. +31 7	0 340-4440		

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2008/071830

	Вох	No. I Basis of the opinion			
1.	With	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:			
	\boxtimes	the international application in the language in which it was filed			
		a translation of the international application into , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1 (b)).			
2.	☐ This opinion has been established taking into account the rectification of an obvious mistake authorized by or notified to this Authority under Rule 91 (Rule 43bis.1(a))				
3.	With	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and essary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:			
	a. ty	pe of material:			
		a sequence listing			
		table(s) related to the sequence listing			
	b. fo	rmat of material:			
		on paper			
		in electronic form			
	c. tin	ne of filing/furnishing:			
		contained in the international application as filed.			
		filed together with the international application in electronic form.			
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.			
4.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.			
5.	Addi	tional comments:			

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2008/071830

	Bo:	x No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial policability				
	The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of					
		the entire international application				
	\boxtimes	☑ claims Nos. <u>19, 27-50</u>				
	because:					
		the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international search (specify):				
10	⊠	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 19 are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):				
		see separate sheet				
		the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):				
		no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos. 27-50				
		a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the sequence listing; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit:				
		furnish a sequence listing on paper complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.				
		furnish a sequence listing in electronic form complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.				
		pay the required late furnishing fee for the furnishing of a sequence listing in response to an invitation under Rules 13 ter.1(a) or (b).				
		a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the tables related to the sequence listings; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit, furnish such tables in electronic form complying with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions, and such tables were not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.				
		the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in electronic form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.				
		See Supplemental Box for further details				

-	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of in	ventio	1				
1.	In response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees, the applicant has, within the applicable time limit:							
		paid additional fees						
	paid additional fees under protest and, where applicable, the protest fee							
	paid additional fees under protest but the applicable protest fee was not paid							
		not paid additional fe	es					
2.	☐ This A the ap	uthority found that the plicant to pay addition:	require al fees.	ment of uni	ty of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite			
3.	This Autho	rity considers that the	requirer	ment of unit	y of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is			
	□ complied with							
	⊠ not com	plied with for the follow	wing rea	sons:				
	see se	parate sheet						
4.	Consequer	Consequently, this report has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:						
	☐ all parts.							
	the part	s relating to claims No	s. <u>1-26</u>					
	Box No. V industrial				bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or us supporting such statement			
1.	Statement							
	Novelty (N)	•	Yes:	Claims	5-15, 18, 22, 24-26			
			No:	Claims	1-4, 16, 17, 20, 21, 23			
	Inventive s	tep (IS)	Yes:	Claims				
			No:	Claims	1-26			
	Industrial a	pplicability (IA)	Yes:	Claims	1-26			
			No:	Claims				
2.	Citations a	nd explanations						

see separate sheet

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2008/071830

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

PCT/US2008/071830

Re Item IV.

The separate inventions of inventions are:

1-26

1-st: Method and apparatus for loading application programs into memory for execution comprising:

receiving, identifying and/or determining an application program that includes one or more application program components required to be stored in said memory for execution of said application program;

determining whether each one of said one or more application program components of said application program are stored in said memory so that said application program can be executed by said computing system after said receiving, identifying and/or determining of said application program; and

causing at least one application program component of said one or more application program components of said application program not to be loaded into said memory when said determining determines that said at least one application program component is stored into said memory and consequently available for execution of said application program.

27-50

2-nd invention: Method for generating differential application data comprising: receiving, identifying and/or determining first and second application programs, wherein said first and second application programs respectively include first and second individual application program components required for execution of said application programs in said computing environment;

determining whether said first and second individual application program components have at least one common individual application program in common after said receiving, identifying and/or determining of said first and second application programs; generating application differential data for said first and/or second applications programs, wherein said application differential data effectively indicates that said first and second application programs have least one common individual application program components in common when said determining determines that said first and second individual application program components have at least one common individual application program in common; and

store the application differential data for execution of said first and/or second applications programs.

They are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept (Rule 13.1 PCT) for the following reasons:

The feature: receiving an application program is not the same as the feature: receiving a first and a second application program. Hence, there are no common nor corresponding features.

Moreover, the two method solve different technical issues which is apparent from the usage specification of each of the defined methods: method for loading application programs into memory for execution and method for generating differential application data. Hence non-unity arises a priori.

Re Item V.

- 1 Reference is made to the following document: D1: EP 0 491 585, 24-06-1992
- The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of independent claims 1, 2, 20, 23 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.
- 2.1 The subject-matter of independent claim 1 is not new as D1 discloses: A computing system, comprising: memory (D1, col 4, lines 2-4, "memory"); one or more processors, (D1, col 4, lines 2-4, "computer", Hence, a processor) wherein said one or more processors are configured and / or operable for: receiving, identifying and / or determining an application program that includes one or more application program components required to be stored in said memory for execution of said application program (D1, col 4, lines 25-42, "spawning a second instance of a computer program", Hence, receiving, identifying and determining is implicit but unambiguously disclosed); determining whether each of said one or more application program components of said application program are stored in said memory so that said application program can be executed by said computing system after said receiving identifying and / or determining of said application program (D1, col 4, lines 25-42, "establishing whether said second instance requires said software module which has been previously loaded into said private area by said first instance of said

computer program"); and

causing at least one application program component of said one or more application program components of said application program not to be loaded into said memory when said determining determines that said at least one application program component is stored into said memory and consequently available for execution of said application program (D1, col 4, lines 25-42, "upon establishing that said second instance requires said software module obtaining said address from said global environment area; and branching to said address", Hence, said software module is NOT reloaded).

- 2.2 The subject-matter of independent claims 2, 20, 23 is not new. The objections made to the subject-matter of claim 1 applies mutatis mutandis to the corresponding subject-matter of claims 2, 20, 23.
- Dependent claims 3-18, 21-26 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT).
- 3.1 Claims 3-4, 16, 17 and 21 In particular are claims 3, 4, 16, 17 and 21 not new in view of D1 (see passages cited).
- 3.2 Claims 12-14 and 22

The subject-matter of claim 12-14 and 22 is not unitary with the subject-matter of claim 3 however, said features are independent from the other method features and it is obvious to apply the system and the method of D1 in a computing system used for gaming.

3.3 Claims 5-11, 18 and 24-26

Claims 5-11 and 18 and 24-26 comprise features related to *differential application data*. Said claims attempt to define methods and corresponding systems for loading software application components based on differential application data. Document D1 discloses a global environment area which comprises the address of all the loaded modules. On the basis of this data the system and method of D1 decide which application components still have to be loaded. This is equivalent with the use of the differential application data. The actual structure and

implementation details of the data on which said decision is based is considered an implementation choice well within the reach of the skilled person, not involving an inventive step.

3.4 The unclarity of the subject-matter of claim 19 is such that meaningful assessment of novelty and inventive step is not possible at this stage.

Re Item VIII.

- The application does not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT, because claims 1, 2, 20, 23, 24, 26 and 19 are not clear.
- 4.1 The subject-matter of independent claims 1, 2, 20, 23, 24 and 26 lack essential features.

It is clear from the definition of claim 2, "A method for loading a computer application program ..." that a step of loading application program components is essential for the method to work. At present the claim only defines the components which are not loaded, hence at present nothing is loaded. Therefore the present definition fails to define a method for loading. An application that is not loaded can not be executed.

Since independent claims 1, 2, 20, 23, 24 and 26 relate to one invention and none of these claims comprise said step of *loading application program components*, claims 1, 2, 20, 23, 24 and 26 do not meet the requirement following from Article 6 PCT taken in combination with Rule 6.3(b) PCT that any independent claim must contain all the technical features essential to the definition of the invention.

Only when the step of determining reveals that the whole application was already loaded the application can be successfully executed. This is defined in claims 24 and 26, however, this appears not to cover the invention as described in the description.

It is furthermore noted that claim 21 comprises the feature *providing other* application components for loading Hence, claim 21 does not lack essential features.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/US2008/071830

4.2 Claim 19 is not clear. In that is not clear how said method step relates to the other method steps.